

# Applying Preclinical Data from Adult Devices to Pediatric Device Development

**Fernando Aguel, M.S.E.**

Circulatory Support and Prosthetics Branch

Division of Cardiovascular Devices


Office of Device Evaluation

# Disclosure Statement of Financial Interest



**I DO NOT have a financial interest, arrangement or affiliation with one or more organizations that could be perceived as a real or apparent conflict of interest in the context of the subject of this presentation.**

# What is the problem?

- 
- Unmet clinical need for size 15, 17mm valves for pediatric patients
  - Historically, a lack of development in this area due to several barriers, such as:
    - Uncertain regulatory pathway
    - Length of time required to collect the data necessary to support regulatory approval
    - Lack of financial incentive for device industry

## How do we identify a solution?



- AdvaMed Pediatric Heart Valve Workshop on January 12, 2010
- Collaboration between academic, industry, and regulatory communities to address these issues
- Primary goal – Leverage existing adult data to the extent possible and encourage a global approach to future product development

# Building Off What We Already Know



- Existing paradigm for adult valves based on HV Guidance Document and ISO 5840
- Pathway for pediatric valves hinges on a couple of key points:
  - Scaling down of approved adult heart valves
  - Same materials as approved valves
- Total Product Life Cycle (TPLC) approach

# Preclinical Testing Paradigm



- Take an approach similar to adult-sized valves
  - Start with a risk analysis
  - Worst-case test conditions should be applied
  - In some cases, a justification may be adequate
  - In others, new testing will be necessary
- Engineering Testing
  - Test conditions based on clinical input
- Animal Studies
  - New testing should not be necessary, as long as same materials and design

# Hydrodynamic Testing



- New testing will be needed in several areas
  - Steady forward flow
  - Steady backflow leakage
  - Pulsatile flow pressure drop
  - Pulsatile flow regurgitation
  - Flow Visualization
- Justification\* may be sufficient for others
  - Cavitation Potential
  - Verification of Bernoulli relationship

\*Based on loading and/or fluid mechanics and computational fluid dynamics (CFD)

# Structural Performance Testing



- Accelerated wear
  - 15-year equivalent for mechanical valves
  - 5-year equivalent for tissue valves
  - Test smallest size only
- Fatigue
  - Finite element analysis (FEA) used to identify test parameters
  - Life analysis performed on worst-case size\*\*
- Dynamic failure mode
  - Test worst-case size\*\* only
- Sewing ring integrity
  - Test all new sizes

\*\*Worst-case for entire adult/pediatric size range



# Biocompatibility/Sterilization



- Leverage existing data for these tests, based on same materials and design
  - Sterilization validation
  - Shelf life
  - Biocompatibility
  - Bioburden
  - Bacterial Endotoxin

# Clinical Testing Paradigm




- Again, a similar approach to that used for adult-sized valves
  - 15 patients per size per position
  - Effectiveness based primarily on hemodynamic data
  - Safety based on key adverse events

# Postapproval Study Paradigm



- Postmarket data will play a major role
  - Limited premarket clinical data
  - Questions regarding device durability in this patient population
- Industry collaboration to develop registry

# Summary & Next Steps

- 
- Maintaining a high bar for preclinical testing
  - Streamlined clinical study requirement
  - Postapproval study data will be critical
  - White paper from AdvaMed Heart Valve Working Group forthcoming
  - This model could be utilized for other pediatric medical device areas as well

# Acknowledgements

- Matthew Hillebrenner
- FDA Heart Valve team
- AdvaMed Pediatric Heart Valve Working Group

**For copies of these slides email:**

[fernando.aguel@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:fernando.aguel@fda.hhs.gov)