



Can we do better at preventing strokes and avoiding bleeding? Some thoughts about regulatory requirements for greater personalization of NOAC dosing

**CSRC NOAC Dosing Workshop  
December 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2015**

FDA Office of New Drugs  
Division of Cardiovascular and Renal Products  
Martin Rose, MD, JD



## Disclaimer



*The findings and conclusions in this presentation have not been formally disseminated by the Food and Drug Administration and should not be construed to represent any Agency determination or policy. The mention of commercial products, their sources, or their use in connection with material reported herein is not to be construed as either an actual or implied endorsement of such products by the Department of Health and Human Services.*

# Background

- There are robust data on the relationship between drug concentrations and clinical outcomes for two of the four approved NOACs: dabigatran and edoxaban
- PK/PD modeling data suggest that some patients are currently being treated with doses that are either too low or too high, increasing the risks for ischemic stroke or bleeding, respectively
- Factor-based dosing or therapeutic drug monitoring probably would result in better therapeutic outcomes than those obtained with the fixed dose regimens studied in the Phase 3 clinical trials

# Do we need outcomes studies of personalized dosing regimens?

Assumptions:

- 1) We understand the drug concentration-outcome relationships for efficacy and safety
- 2) We are able to determine a target range of drug concentrations that best balances the benefits and risks of the drug, based on 1)
- 3) We understand the pharmacokinetic characteristics of the drug

*The answer depends on the whether the proposed personalized dose is within, below or above the range of doses for which we have reliable outcomes*<sup>4</sup>

## Do we need outcomes studies of personalized dosing regimens?

- Scenario 1: The personalized dose is expected to result in drug concentrations in the target range and is either within or below the range of doses for which we have reliable outcomes data:  
**Probably not**
  - Labeling frequently recommends use of unstudied doses that are between or less than studied doses to account for such factors as renal impairment or inhibition of a drug-metabolizing enzyme

## Do we need outcomes studies of personalized dosing regimens?

- Scenario 2: The personalized dose is expected to result in drug concentrations in the target range and is above the range of doses for which we have reliable outcomes data: **Sometimes**
  - Labeling occasionally recommends use of an unstudied dose that is above the range of studied doses to account for concomitant use with an inducer of a drug-metabolizing enzyme that results in reduced blood concentrations of the drug

## Do we need outcomes studies of personalized dosing regimens?

- Scenario 2: The personalized dose is expected to result in drug concentrations in the target range and is above the range of doses for which we have reliable outcomes data: **Sometimes**
  - Factors militating for an outcomes study:
    - Concern about local effects of the drug (i.e., GI bleeding)
    - Risk of catastrophic outcomes with unexpectedly high drug concentrations

# Acknowledgements

- Office of Clinical Pharmacology
  - Jeffry Florian
  - Rajnikanth Madabushi
- Division of Cardiovascular and Renal Products and Office of Drug Evaluation 1
  - Stephen Grant
  - Norman Stockbridge
  - Robert Temple
  - Ellis Unger